27

1	VOLUME II IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND	
3	BRUCE ALLEN LILLER, et al.	
4	Plaintiffs vs. CIVIL ACTION NO.	
5	ROBERT KAUFFMAN, et al MJG 02-CV-3390	
6	(Consolidated with MJG 02-CV-3391)	
7	Defendants and Third- Party Plaintiffs	
8	and	
9	ROGER LEE HELBIG	
10	Third-Party Defendant /	
11	Volume II of the deposition of CHARLES M.	
12	COHEN, PH.D. was held on Thursday, December 18, 2003,	
13	commencing at 4:05 P.M., at the Law Offices of Lord &	
14	Whip, 36 South Charles Street, 10th Floor, Baltimore,	
15	Maryland 21201 before Louisa B. McIntire-Brooks, Notary	
16	Public.	
17	APPEARANCES:	
18	ARNOLD F. PHILLIPS, ESQUIRE (via tele.) On behalf of Plaintiffs	
19		
20	JENNIFER S. LUBINSKI, ESQUIRE On behalf of Defendants	EXHIBIT
21	REPORTED BY: Louisa B. McIntire-Brooks, RPR, CSR	<u>F</u>

Charles M. Cohen, Ph.D. (Vol. II) - 12/18/03

32

- 1 Q I take it the Pennsylvania system is set up 2 a little bit different from Maryland's?
- 3 A Well, I don't know Maryland's system.
- 4 Q If you're called as an expert with, let's
 5 start with Bruce Liller, if you are called as an expert
 6 witness with respect to Bruce Liller's case, what
 7 opinions do you expect to offer?
- 8 A The opinion would -- Lwould offer he had
 9 the earnings capacity of \$23.77 per hour working as a
 10 construction supervisor or superintendent. Since the
 11 accident, his earnings capacity has been reduced to \$15
 12 per hour working in the family construction business.
 13 He has past and future losses associated with this loss
 14 of earnings capacity.
- 15 Q Do you feel that a rate of \$23.77 an hour 16 is appropriate given the fact that Mr. Liller was not, 17 in fact, earning that rate prior to this accident?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Why is that?
- 20 A Well, we're talking about earnings
- 21 capacity. He gives a history of earning \$18 an hour in

- 1 engaged a laborer who follows Mr. Liller around and 2 assists him with physical activities that Mr. Liller is 3 unable to perform and that that laborer is paid \$8 an 4 hour in order to do that?
- A Yes.
- 6 Q Is that your understanding?
- 7 A That's my understanding.
- 8 Q Is it your understanding that that \$8 an 9 hour is deducted from Mr. Liller's hourly wage?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q This laborer is being paid \$8 an hour
 12 independent of Mr. Liller's hourly wage of \$15 an hour;
 13 is that correct?
- 14 A That's my understanding.
- 15 Q Would you agree with me that it would be 16 possible to have another employee -- well, let me 17 rephrase that. Have you attended any work sites with 18 Bruce Liller?
- 19 A No.
- Q You have never had the opportunity to see
- 21 Mr. Liller actually at a construction site?

33

- 1 South Carolina doing that kind of work. That's in the
- 2 range appropriate for that kind of job and therefore,
- 3 we use the mean wage for that kind of work. And that
- 4 is why it's the appropriate earnings capacity, not --
- 5 based on his earnings capacity, not on his earnings.
- 6 Q Would you agree with me that wages for an 7 employee are based on a number of factors including the 8 particular employee's skills and qualifications?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Job performance?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q You would agree with me doctor that you're 13 not a physician; correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- 15 Q And because you're not a physician, you 16 cannot state that any physical complaints Mr. Liller 17 has currently are or are not causally related to the 18 accident?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q It's my understanding that Bruce Liller has 21 engaged or his family's construction business has

- A That is correct.
- Q You would not be able to testify with 3 respect to the nature of the work that this laborer is 4 actually performing; is that correct?
- 5 A Other than what Bruce told me.
- 6 Q Correct.
- 7 A Correct. I have no independent knowledge 8 of it.
- 9 Q So, you would have no opinions with respect 10 to whether or not it was appropriate to hire an 11 employee specifically to assist Mr. Liller at the job 12 site in that fashion. Is that correct?
- 13 A Well again, I have no direct -- I did not14 observe this. Based on what Bruce tells me would be15 appropriate.
- 16 Q Why is that?
- 17 A Well, he indicates that he is supervising 18 on the job, that he goes around and that there is a 19 need for physical activity and when that need arises, 20 he's there as a laborer available to do it.
- Q But, you would not be able to say that it

35

34

3 (Pages 32 to 35)

48

- Q Do you know if that's been done?
- 2 A I don't know.

1

- 3 Q Do you know if he's received psychiatric 4 treatment for depression or anxiety?
- 5 A No, I don't.
- 6 Q How about psychotherapy or cognitive 7 retraining?
- 8 A No. I don't know if he has.
- 9 Q I understand. Do you know if he is taking 10 psychotropic medications at this point?
- 11 A No, I don't know.
- 12 Q Do you have any opinions with respect to 13 the type of psychotropic medication that will be 14 required?
- 15 A Well, I'm not a psychiatrist. However, I
 16 do work with psychiatrists and with MDs and my
 17 impression is that he would need an antidepressant
 18 medication, perhaps an antianxiety medication, perhaps
 19 a combination of the two.
- 20 Q Do you have any opinions with respect to 21 the cost of the therapies that you recommended?

- 1 complaints related to this accident?
- 2 A No, I don't.
- Q I note that in your report you say that the goal of the treatments that you're recommending would be to get him back to the functional levels that he was when he left the hospital. Is it your opinion that Michael Liller's condition has gotten worse since he left the hospital?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q In what way?
- 11 A Compared to the records that I read, this
 12 young man appears to be markedly more depressed than
 13 was noted previously. He appears to be suffering from
 14 some anxiety. His cognitive functioning to the limited
 15 degree that I evaluated them on the mental status
 16 examination appear to be poorer than they were when he
 17 left. He also started drinking again, but indicated
 18 that he was in early remission for that. So, for those
 19 reasons, or he appeared to be functioning a good deal
 20 poorer than he did when he left the rehab program.
- 21 Q Do you have any opinions with respect to

49

- 1 A Well, yes, I do. These are based on my
 2 experience. I know, I talked to Mr. Walstra, and I
 3 know you had a discussion regarding the figures he came
 4 up with. I don't have those figures right in front of
 5 me. I think the neuropsych was a thousand and I think
 6 he told me that the average price of the therapy was,
 7 he used \$80 an hour. Those figures in my experience
 8 are very conservative and if one would do a more
 9 involved study of that, I can assure you that there
 10 would be markedly increased prices noted.
- 11 Q But, you would agree with me that as far as 12 you know, no physician has ordered any of these 13 therapies for Mr. Liller?
- 14 A Well, I do note that there was some
 15 recommendations that -- well, it is certainly not
 16 recently. I don't think there is -- well, let me put
 17 it this way: I didn't see anything about another
 18 neuropsych being requested or any therapy, no, or
 19 medication.
- Q Do you know if Michael Liller is currently21 receiving treatment with a physician for any of his

- 1 the significance of Michael Liller's alcohol2 consumption either pre-accident or post-accident?
- 3 A Do I -- what was your question?
- 4 Q Do you have any opinions with regard to the 5 significance of Michael Liller's alcohol consumption 6 either before the accident or after the accident?
- A Well, before the accident, he indicates
 8 that he was clean and sober for eight months. So, if
 9 in fact that is the case, it would -- it would be a
 10 positive sign and would not be a negative influence on
 11 his condition. Afterwards, certainly anyone with
 12 sustained cognitive disorder shouldn't be drinking.
 13 I'm glad to hear, at least he told me at the time, that
 14 he had stopped drinking for a month. And that would
 15 again be a positive sign. Certainly if he had
 16 continued to drink, it would be certainly a negative
 17 indication.
- 18 Q Do you have any opinions with respect to 19 the appropriateness of Mr. Liller's discharge from the 20 Care program? Let me clarify that. Was it appropriate 21 to discharge him when they discharged him?

51

50

52

- A Well, I really have no opinion. I -- it 2 appeared to be appropriate. I would have probably made 3 sure, if I were in charge, that follow-up would have 4 been done on a regular basis. Not just a total 5 release. So, the time of the release may have been 6 appropriate, but for my thinking, there should have 7 been built-in periods where he would return or at least 8 observations be made to see how he actually does after 9 release, not just released to the parents.
- Q What kind of follow-up would be required? 11 In other words, would there be follow-up with the 12 neuropsychiatrist, would there be follow-up with -- or 13 I think it was a neuropsychologist.
- A Correct.
- Would there be follow-up with the Care Q 16 program, the Care program itself, I think, was staffed 17 by RNs and therapists.
- A Ilm-hum. Well, the actual people that would 19 do the follow-up is not as important as the system 20 itself. My fielding is that certainly the Care 21 program, it would have been very good for them to do a

1 you describe in your report, are you able to -- let me 2 rephrase that from the beginning. Considering the 3 treatment that you have described in your report, are 4 you able to say to a reasonable degree of medical 5 probability that Mr. Liller's condition would improve?

- A The best I'm willing to say is that I would 7 hope that that would be the case. How much he would 8 improve is another question. Certainly in these cases 9 when there is dramatic brain damage and it's over a 10 year, the functioning in terms of cognitive 11 functioning, you see very little improvement after a 12 year. I think the better hope would be the issues of 13 depression and anxiety hopefully would improve.
- Q Is it your opinion that Mr. Liller's 15 depression and anxiety are causally related to the 16 accident?
- A Well, it certainly is secondary to the 18 accident. The best judgment of the people who were 19 seeing him was at the most he was mildly depressed 20 early on. The Care program indicated that he did not 21 appear to have any emotional kinds of problems, My

53

1 systematic follow-up of Michael.

- Q Are you able to say or do you have any 3 opinions to a reasonable degree of probability that if 4 Michael Liller had gotten that follow-up therapy 5 following his discharge from the Care program, his 6 condition today would be improved?
- A Well, I'd be speculating. One always hopes 8 that it would have improved. I don't know that for the 9 fact. He did appear to improve with the treatment at 10 the Care program. So, one would hope that he would 11 have improved. But, I cannot state that for certain.
- Q Do you believe that Michael Liller is at 13 MMI? Do you know what MMI means; Doctor?
- 14 A I did. Medical -- at the high point --
- Q Maximum medical improvement? 15
- A Yeah, maximum medical improvement. No, I 16 17 don't believe that. No, I strong -- you know, as my 18 report indicates, I felt that he needed more treatment, 19 a good deal more treatment. So, I do not feel that 20 hc's at maximum medical benefit.
- Q Do you believe that with the treatment that

1 impression is that the depression, anxiety developed 2 afterwards as he found he was unable to function as 3 well as he had hoped. So, I would consider it 4 secondary to the trauma.

- Q But, you're not able to say whether or not 6 it was caused by the trauma?
- A Well, cause is a difficult statement to 8 make. I would say that it's secondary to. I think 9 that's a better way of describing it.
- Q You performed for Michael Liller or you had 10 11 him take an MMPI?
- 12
- Did you have Bruce Liller take an MMPI? 13 0
- 14 Α No.

15

16 have Michael Liller take an MMPI and not Bruce? Well, Bruce, in terms of the interview, had 18 only mild indications of depressive kinds of symptoms 19 and it did not appear to me to be worthwhile to spend

What was the basis for your decision to

20 his time or the money because there wasn't enough 21 evidence to make the MMPI a relevant instrument to give

410-837-3027

410-828-4148

54